WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	١
	١,

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

PERCY SILAS CLY		CY SILAS CLY	_ Case Number:	CR08-0760-001-PCT-MHM			
	cordance stablishe		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	142(f), a detention hearing has	s been held. I conclude that the following facts		
	•	clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant nding trial in this case.					
	by a preponderance of the evidence this case.			ndant is a flight risk and require	the detention of the defendant pending trial in		
			PAF	RT I FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe the	at the defendant has committe	ed		
			a drug offense for which a m §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq, o	aximum term of imprisonment or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et sec	of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C.		
			an offense under 18 U.S.C.	§§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C imprisonment of ten years or	. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crir more is prescribed.	mes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of		
			an offense involving a minor	victim prescribed in	.1		
	(2)	The d	lefendant has not rebutted the iions will reasonably assure the	presumption established by appearance of the defendant	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.		
				Alternative Findings			
	(1)	There the ap	here is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure se appearance of the defendant as required.				
\boxtimes	(2)	No co	ndition or combination of condi	tions will reasonably assure the	e safety of others and the community.		
	(3)	There a pros	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)						
			_	TATEMENT OF REASONS FO neck one or both, as applicable.)	OR DETENTION		
	(1)		hat the credible testimony and ir danger that:	nformation submitted at the hea	ring establish by clear and convincing evidence		
		Base		d offense, defendant was repor t's extensive history of alcohol	tedly under the influence of alcohol at the time abuse.		

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1)(abusive sexual contact. § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 3:08-cr-00760-MHM Document 8 Filed 07/25/08 Page 2 of 2

(2)	i find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of <u>42 years</u> .
The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
killed tribal o wife in	lition: Indant has several prior probation revocations. Defendant is charged with vehicular homicide wherein two people were and one injured as a result of his drunk driving. The evidence is great. Defendant plead guilty on March 19, 2008 in court to tribal charges (DUI) related to this matter. The tribal court required defendant to attend DUI school. Defendant's idicates that he last drank alcohol on July 19, 2008. It is absolutely clear defendant will not stop drinking alcohol under recumstances.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 24th day of July, 2008.

United States Magistrate Judge